REMARKS

The Office Action dated August 10, 2004 has been fully considered by the Applicant.

Claims 1, 2, 5,11 and 14 are currently amended. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 10 and 12 have been previously presented. Claims 6, 9, and 13 have been canceled.

A check and a Request for Three Month Extension of Time is enclosed herewith.

The drawings have been objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Examiner Hyeon stated that, "... the further apparatus and the adapter in connection with the apparatus must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s)."

Attached is a proposed Figure 3 illustrating schematically Applicant's invention. Proposed Figure 3 shows the apparatus and the adaptor connected thereto within the housing and shows the further apparatuses connected to the adaptor and therefore to the apparatus. No new matter has been added.

Examiner Hyeon further objected to the drawings because they "do not show the physical structure of the electrical apparatus and the adaptor. Figure 1 of the drawings only shows a circuit diagram of the apparatus and the adapter. Therefore it is not clear whether the circuit board of the apparatus and the adaptor are two separate elements or made in one element. And it is not clear what is the apparatus and what is the adaptor." Applicant has amended the specification to clarify that the printed circuit board as appears in Figure 1 is within the adaptor.

The Examiner has objected to the specification based on informalities appearing on page 7.

Applicant has amended the specification to overcome this objection.

A new title has been requested by Examiner Hyeon. Applicant has amended the title to read:

"An Adaptor To Allow Apparatus With Non-Scart Connector To Connect To Apparatus With Scart

Connectors". It is believed that the amended title is now fully descriptive of the invention.

Claims 1 and 14 have been objected to based on informalities. Claims 1 and 14 have been amended to correct such informalities as indicated by Examiner Hyeon. Applicant gratefully appreciates Examiner Hyeon's thorough examination of the application.

Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement of what the apparatus does. Claim 1 has been amended to more clearly define that an apparatus having scart connectors (which are typically used in the European market) may be connected to further apparatus having a different type of connectors from the scart connectors, such as phono connectors, (which are typically used in US markets) by use of Applicant's internally housed adapter having at least one scart connector and at least one output connector exposed externally of the housing. Applicant believes that currently amended claim 1 overcomes the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection and respectfully requests reconsideration.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-12 and 14 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. Applicant believes that the claims as currently amended overcome the 112 rejection and, therefore, respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejections based on the above amendments and arguments.

It is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. If any further issues remain, a telephone conference with the Examiner is requested. If any fees or charges are associated herewith, please credit deposit Account No. 08-1500.

HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN

Respectfully submitted,

Mark G. Kachigian

Registration No. 32,840

Head, Johnson & Kachigian

228 West 17th Place

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

(918) 587-2000

Attorneys for Applicant